

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**AD** 435765

**DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER**

**FOR**

**SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

**CAMERON STATION, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA**



**UNCLASSIFIED**

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

64-12

35765

MEMORANDUM  
RM-4079-PR

APRIL 1964

435765  
CATALOGED BY DDC  
AS AD NO. 1

## NONLINEAR EXTRAPOLATION AND TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Richard Bellman, Harriet Kagiwada and Robert Kalaba

DDC  
APR 20 1964

PREPARED FOR:

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE PROJECT RAND

435765  
4

The RAND Corporation  
SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM  
RM-4079-PR  
APRIL 1964

NONLINEAR EXTRAPOLATION AND  
TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Richard Bellman, Harriet Kagiwada and Robert Kalaba

This research is sponsored by the United States Air Force under Project RAND—contract No. AF 49(638)-700 monitored by the Directorate of Development Planning, Deputy Chief of Staff, Research and Development, Hq USAF. Views or conclusions contained in this Memorandum should not be interpreted as representing the official opinion or policy of the United States Air Force.

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from the Defense Documentation Center (DDC).

---

The RAND Corporation

1700 MAIN ST • SANTA MONICA • CALIFORNIA • 90408

PREFACE

Many problems in modern control engineering involve the numerical solution of nonlinear differential equations subject to two-point boundary conditions. The purpose of this Memorandum is to show how nonlinear extrapolation techniques can be used to convert a first-order successive approximation scheme into a second-order scheme for the solution of such problems. Results of a numerical experiment are presented.

SUMMARY

It is suggested that the convergence properties of the usual Picard successive approximation scheme may be improved through use of nonlinear extrapolation techniques. A numerical example is provided.

CONTENTS

PREFACE .....	iii
SUMMARY .....	v
Section	
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. SKETCH OF METHOD .....	2
III. EXAMPLE .....	3
REFERENCES .....	4
FORTRAN II PROGRAMS .....	5

I. INTRODUCTION

An interesting class of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems is described by the following equations

$$u'' = f(u), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0. \quad (1)$$

In the scalar case, the equation can be solved by quadrature; in the vector case, we must use numerical techniques. Let us restrain our attention to the scalar case in order to present a new approach unhindered by analytic details. One way to attack these problems is to use Newton's method and quasilinearization.<sup>(1)</sup> Such an approach requires knowledge of the partial derivative  $\partial f / \partial u$  for the determination of the  $(n+1)^{st}$  approximation to the solution, given an  $n^{th}$  approximation,  $u_n(x)$ . The recurrence relations are the linear equations

$$u''_{n+1} = f(u_n) + (u_{n+1} - u_n) \frac{\partial f(u_n)}{\partial u_n}, \quad (2)$$

$$u_{n+1}(0) = u_{n+1}(1) = 0.$$

They can be solved by producing a particular solution and two independent solutions of the homogeneous equations numerically and determining the constant multipliers of the homogeneous solutions so as to satisfy the boundary conditions. The sequence of functions  $u_0(x), u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots$  converges quadratically to the solution  $u(x)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , under appropriate conditions on the function  $f(u)$ ; cf. Ref. 1.

Picard's method for finding the  $(n+1)^{st}$  approximation requires the solution of the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} u''_{n+1} &= f(u_n), \\ u_{n+1}(0) &= u_{n+1}(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It is a first order method, so that if the  $(n+1)^{st}$  approximation is

$$u_{n+1} = u + \delta_n, \tag{4}$$

where  $\delta_n$  is the discrepancy, then

$$\|\delta_{n+1}\| \sim K \|\delta_n\|. \tag{5}$$

If  $K < 1$ , the sequence of approximating functions converges linearly or "geometrically."

### III. SKETCH OF METHOD

Let us assume that we have a current approximation  $U_0(x)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . We would like to find a new approximation using a variation of Picard's method. The method which we propose has the advantage of quadratic convergence without requiring any partial differentiation which could require a major calculation in a larger problem. Let us compute the functions  $u_1(x)$  and  $u_2(x)$  by means of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} u''_1 &= f(U_0), \quad u_1(0) = u_1(1) = 0, \\ u''_2 &= f(u_1), \quad u_2(0) = u_2(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The application of Eqs. (4) and (5) to  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  leads to the following relations:

$$\| u_1 - u \| \sim K \| u_0 - u \| ,$$

$$\| u_2 - u \| \sim K \| u_1 - u \| .$$

Next we write the constant K in the approximate forms

$$K \approx \frac{u_1 - u_0}{u_0 - u_1}$$

and also,

$$K \approx \frac{u_2 - u_1}{u_1 - u_0} ,$$

where the function  $U_1$  is the prediction of  $u(x)$ . Equating these two expressions for K leads to the extrapolation formulas

$$U_1 = \frac{u_0 u_2 - u_1^2}{u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2} , \quad (7)$$

$$U_1 = u_2 - \frac{(u_1 - u_2)^2}{u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2} . \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) has the same form as the first order transform of Shanks. (2).

### III. EXAMPLE

This extrapolation technique was applied to the case

$$f(u) = e^u .$$

An initial approximation was computed with  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $u'(0) = -1$ , and the differential Eq. (1). The integration step length was .01. The results of this experiment are given in the table, together with the correct solution  $u(x)$ .

x	$u_0(x)$	$u_1(x)$	$u_4(x)$	$u(x)$
0.0	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
0.1	-0.095159	-0.041328	-0.041487	-0.041436
0.2	-0.181212	-0.073067	-0.073337	-0.073269
0.3	-0.258912	-0.095566	-0.095861	-0.095800
0.4	-0.328885	-0.109061	-0.109274	-0.109238
0.5	-0.391654	-0.113677	-0.113708	-0.113704
0.6	-0.447657	-0.109433	-0.109209	-0.109238
0.7	-0.497265	-0.096231	-0.095746	-0.095800
0.8	-0.540786	-0.073855	-0.073205	-0.073269
0.9	-0.578481	-0.041950	-0.041387	-0.041436
1.0	-0.610565	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

Note that a single iteration gave a very great improvement over the initial approximation. The time required to do these four iterations on the IBM 7090 computer was about 20 seconds.

The FORTRAN II programs are listed below.

#### REFERENCES

1. Kalaba, R. E., "On Nonlinear Differential Equations, the Maximum Operation and Monotone Convergence," J. of Math and Mech., Vol. 8 (1959), pp. 519-574.
2. Shanks, Daniel, "Non-linear Transformations of Divergent and Slowly Convergent Sequences," J. Math. and Phys., Vol. 34 (1955), pp. 1-42.

```

*      LIST
CPICARD      PICARD'S METHOD + 2-PT. B.V. PROBLEMS
C
      COMMON T,NMAX,KMAX,N1,NPRNT,UZERO,U,IFLAG,DELTA,UPREV
      DIMENSION T(100),UZERO(2),U(201)
      DIMENSION P1(201),P2(201)
      DIMENSION U1(201)
C
      1 READ100,NMAX,KMAX,N1,NPRNT
      PRINT910
      PRINT900,NMAX,KMAX,N1,NPRNT
      RFAD101,DELTA,(UZERO(I),I=1,2)
      PRINT901,DELTA,(UZERO(I),I=1,2)
C
      K=0
      PRINT902,K
      CALL START
C
C      K ITERATIONS, EACH A DOUBLE APPLICATION OF PICARD'S METHOD
C
      DO 100 K=1,KMAX
      PRINT902,K
      IFLAG=1
C
      APPLICATION 1
      T(2)=0.
      T(3)=DELTA
      T(4)=0.
      T(5)=0.
      UPREV=U(1)
      CALL INT(T,2,N1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
      P1(1)=T(4)
C
      DO 2 N=2,NMAX
      UPREV=U(N-1)
      CALL INTM
      2 P1(N)=T(4)
C
      B1=-P1(NMAX)
C
      APPLICATION 2
      T(2)=0.
      T(4)=0.
      T(5)=0.
      U1(1)=P1(1)
      UPREV=U1(1)
      CALL INT(T,2,N1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
      P2(1)=T(4)
C
      DO 3 N=2,NMAX
      UPREV=U1(N-1)
      CALL INTM
      U1(N)=P1(N) + B1*T(2)
      3 P2(N)=T(4)

```

```
C      B2=-P2(NMAX)
C
C      NEW APPROXIMATION
C
C      PRINT903
C      U(1)=U.
C      T(2)=U.
C      PRINT904,T(2),U(1)
C      N=1
C
C      5  DO 53 M=1,NPRNT
C      N=N+1
C      T(2)=T(2)+DELTA
C      U2=P2(N) + B2*T(2)
C      IF(N=NMAX)51,52,52
C      51 U(N)=U2 - (U1(N)-U2)**2 / (U(N)-2.*U1(N)+U2)
C      GO TO 53
C      52 U(NMAX)=0.
C      53 CONTINUE
C
C      PRINT904,T(2),U(N)
C      IF(N=NMAX)5,100,100
C
C      100 CONTINUE
C
C      GO TO 1
C
C      1000 FORMAT(6I12)
C      1001 FORMAT(6E12.8)
C      900 FORMAT(6I20)
C      901 FORMAT(6E20.8)
C      902 FORMAT(10H1ITERATION, I3)
C      903 FORMAT(1H018X1HT,26X4HU(T) /)
C      904 FORMAT(10XF10.4, E30.8)
C      910 FORMAT(37H1PICARD'S METHOD + 2-PT. B.V.PROBLEMS )
C      END
```

```
*      LIST
SUBROUTINE START
COMMON T,NMAX,KMAX,N1,NPRNT,UZERO,U,IFLAG,DELTA,UPREV
DIMENSION T(100),UZERO(2),U(201)
C
C      ACTUAL EQS.
IFLAG=2
T(2)=0.
T(3)=DELTA
T(4)=UZERO(1)
T(5)=UZERO(2)
CALL INT(T,2,N1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
N=1
U(1)=T(4)
PRINT903
PRINT904,T(2),U(1)
C
5  DO 6 M=1,NPRNT
CALL INTM
N=N+1
6  U(N)=T(4)
C
PRINT904,T(2),U(N)
IF(N-NMAX)5,7,7
7  RETURN
C
903 FORMAT(1H018X1HT,26X4HU(T) /)
904 FORMAT(10XF10.4, E30.8)
END
```

```
*      LIST
      SUBROUTINE DAUX
      COMMON T,NMAX,KMAX,N1,NPRNT,UZERO,U,IFLAG,DELTA,UPREV
      DIMENSION T(100),UZERO(2),U(201)
C
      GO TO (1,2),IFLAG
C
C      PICARD'S EQS.
1    T(6)=T(5)
      T(7)=EXPF(UPREV)
      RETURN
C
C      ACTUAL EQS.
2    T(6)=T(5)
      T(7)=EXPF(T(4))
      RETURN
      END
```

```
*      DATA
      201          4          2          10
      .005         0.         -1.
```